

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/061,065	01/28/2002		Jung Owan Lee	DE-1337	541
75	90	12/24/2003		EXAMINER	
David A. Einhorn, Esq.			HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE		
Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C. 1251 Avenue of the Americas				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10020				3637	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	Γ				
÷		10/061,06	5	LEE, JUNG OWAN					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	Г				
		James O.		3637					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address/-					
THE I - Exter after - if the - if NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no eve ly within the statu will apply and wil e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 S	September 2	<u>003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is no	n-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-9 is/are pending in the app	lication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.			•					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers		,						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	_							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	-	·						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•							
, —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.					
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment acknowl	ts have been ts have been ts have been try document (PCT Rule to of the certific priority urest sentence ovisional applic priority urest	n received. In received in Applications have been received in Application 17.2(a)). The copies not received a service of the specification or plication has been received as U.S.C. §§ 120.	on No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  in an Application Data Sheet.  eived.  and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachmen	• •								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	· · ·		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/061,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In an effort to expedite the prosecution of the application [compact prosecution], the examiner called applicant on December 9, 2003 & December 11, 2003 in an effort to place the application in condition for allowance [proposing amendments to the claims – incorporating the limitations of either claims 5 or 6 into the independent claims], a time-frame [at the end of the day – due to the office's need to issue an office action in response to applicant's amendment] was given so as to respond to the proposal. Applicant was unable to accept the proposal within the time-frame; as such, the following is a Final office action on the merits.

# Claim Objections

Claims 2 & 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 2
 the phrase "member is" respectively, should be changed to --members are--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 & 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al., [U.S. Patent No. 4,586,348]. Nakayama (figures 1-12) teaches of a refrigerator cabinet assembly (fig. 1) comprising: an outer case (2) including two

Application/Control Number: 10/061,065

Art Unit: 3637

laterally spaced side walls (15, 16) and a top wall (17) interconnecting the two sides, a front edge portion of each of the side walls and the top wall is bent inwardly so as to define first, second and third front face portions respectively (19); upper front reinforcing members (26) for increasing the structural rigidity of the outer case, the upper members being secured to the first, second and third face portions; a lower front reinforcing member (43 for example) for increasing the structural rigidity of the outer case, the lower member being secured to the first and second face portions; and means (screws) for securing the reinforcing members to the outer case, wherein the cabinet assembly is devoid of welded portions [note the "background" section in the prior art]. As to claim 2, the assembly further comprising first and second lower side reinforcing members (lower front portions of members 46) secured to rear face portions of the first and second face portions of the outer case. As to claim 8, the outer case is a pre-coated metal plate (note the "summary" section in the prior art). Nakayama teaches applicant's invention substantially as claimed, but does not show the upper front reinforcing member as being one element [Nakayama utilizes two elements]. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a single reinforcing member, since forming in one piece an article which performs the same function as two previously known pieces, if joined together, involves only routine skill in the art lacking a new or unobvious functional relationship with the assembly, the single member will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama in view of Vismara [U.S. Patent No. 5,730,516]. Nakayama teaches applicant's inventive claimed assembly as disclosed above, but does not show

Page 3

· Application/Control Number: 10/061,065

Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

insulating members made of expandable polystyrene [Nakayama employs a foamed polyurethane]. However, Vismara (figures 1-9) teaches the use of expandable polystyrene insulating members (16) in an analogous art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the insulating means of Nakayama so as to incorporate the insulating members as taught by Vismara because this arrangement would provide Nakayama with a way to maintain a high thermal insulation while at the same time being easily dismantled into related elements to facilitate recycling when ready for demolition.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Clark et al., Carbary et al., and Avendano et al., describe refrigerator cabinet assemblies having bent front portions supplemented with reinforcing members.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

- Application/Control Number: 10/061,065

Art Unit: 3637

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 5

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and

any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date

of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire

later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James O. Hansen whose telephone number is 703-

305-7414. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168.

James O. Hansen

lung S. Hann

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3637

JOH

December 12, 2003